# **Comprehensive Evaluation of Therapeutic Interventions for Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials**

Budati Meghna and Dhanraj Ganapathy\*

Department of Prosthodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600 077, India

#### Abstract

Oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF) is a chronic condition characterized by progressive fibrosis and thickening of the oral submucosa, leading to functional limitations such as restricted mouth opening (trismus), difficulty in chewing and swallowing, and a burning sensation in the oral cavity. This systematic review, conducted per PRISMA guidelines, synthesizes current evidence on OSMF management, evaluating therapeutic interventions and identifying areas for further research. A comprehensive search of databases including PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, and CENTRAL, up to 2024, identified 48 studies. After screening for relevance and quality, 32 clinical trials were included. Eligible studies focused on pharmacological, surgical, and alternative treatments for OSMF, assessing outcomes such as mouth opening, pain reduction, and histopathological changes. Studies on animal models, in vitro research, reviews, and case reports were excluded. Due to significant heterogeneity in study designs and outcomes, a meta-analysis was not feasible. Instead, a qualitative synthesis revealed the effectiveness of various treatments. Local injections, particularly corticosteroids combined with agents like Salvia miltiorrhiza or lycopene, improved mouth opening and pain. Non-invasive approaches, including physiotherapy and herbal remedies, provided symptom relief, while surgical interventions were reserved for severe cases. Emerging therapies, such as transdermal fentanyl and laser fibrotomy, showed promise for personalized treatment. The review emphasizes early diagnosis, tailored interventions, and the need for further research into innovative therapies to enhance treatment outcomes for OSMF.

*Keywords:* Corticosteroids, Interventions, Oral Submucous Fibrosis, Physiotherapy, Screening, Treatments.

### Introduction

Oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF) is a complex, chronic condition affecting the oral cavity, characterized by progressive fibrosis of the submucosal layer. This debilitating disorder manifests primarily as a tightening and stiffening of the oral mucosa, leading to significant functional impairments, including trismus (restricted mouth opening), difficulty in chewing and swallowing, and а characteristic burning sensation in the oral cavity [1-4]. OSMF is increasingly recognized as a major public health concern, particularly

in regions with high prevalence rates, such as South Asia, Southeast Asia, and parts of Africa [5]. Its association with the consumption of areca nut (betel quid) and other forms of oral tobacco underscores the need for heightened awareness and preventive strategies in affected populations [6].

The aetiology of OSMF remains multifactorial, involving a complex interplay of genetic, environmental, and dietary factors [7–9]. Habitual use of areca nut has been identified as a primary risk factor, with its active components contributing to the pathogenesis of OSMF through mechanisms that include oxidative stress and inflammation. Additionally, nutritional deficiencies particularly deficiencies in vitamins such as Bcomplex and iron—have been implicated in the development and progression of this condition. These factors converge to instigate a chronic inflammatory response in the oral mucosa, ultimately leading to excessive collagen deposition and the characteristic fibrosis that defines OSMF [10, 11].

Clinically, OSMF presents a unique challenge to healthcare providers, as its symptoms can be subtle in the early stages, often leading to delayed diagnosis and intervention. The progression of the disease can culminate in complications such as oral cancer, with studies indicating a significantly elevated risk among individuals with OSMF. This risk necessitates routine screening and a thorough understanding of the condition's potential malignancy [12].

Management of OSMF has evolved over the years, encompassing a range of therapeutic options aimed at alleviating symptoms, promoting mucosal healing, and preventing disease progression. These treatments include pharmacological interventions such as corticosteroids, antioxidants, and collagenase, as well as surgical approaches such as fibrotomy and buccal mucosa grafting. However, the effectiveness of these strategies is highly variable, often influenced by factors such as disease severity, patient compliance, and the specific treatment modalities employed [13, 14]. Despite the diversity of available treatments, there remains a significant gap in the literature regarding standardized treatment protocols and outcome measures.

This systematic review aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the current evidence surrounding the management of OSMF. By synthesizing findings from recent studies, we will assess the efficacy of various therapeutic interventions, highlight potential best practices, and identify areas where further research is needed. Ultimately, this review seeks to inform clinical practice and guide future investigations, contributing to the overarching goal of improving patient outcomes and quality of life for individuals affected by OSMF.

### Methodology

The research question for this systematic review was"What is the effectiveness and comparative efficacy of various clinical interventions for improving mouth opening and symptom management in patients with Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF)? "This systematic review was conducted by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure a standardized and thorough approach. The review specifically targeted clinical trials investigating the efficacy of various treatment modalities for Submucous Oral Fibrosis (OSMF). Α comprehensive search was performed across several major electronic databases, including PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). The search strategy utilized keywords and MeSH terms related to OSMF, such as "Oral Submucous "OSMF." "clinical trials." Fibrosis." "treatment," "therapy," and "intervention," with Boolean operators (AND, OR) and filters for clinical trials and human studies. The literature search spanned publications up to 2024 and did not include language restrictions.

The review included clinical trials (randomized controlled trials, non-randomized trials, and pilot studies) where participants were diagnosed with OSMF and where the studies evaluated therapeutic interventions (pharmacological, surgical, or alternative treatments). Outcome measures considered included improvements in mouth opening, pain reduction, and histopathological changes. Exclusion criteria eliminated studies involving animal models, in vitro experiments, reviews,

case reports, and studies lacking relevant outcomes or with incomplete data. Data extraction was conducted using a structured form to gather details such as author, year, study design, sample size, participant demographics, interventions, outcome measures, and results. Two independent reviewers performed data extraction, resolving any discrepancies through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer.

The methodological quality of randomized controlled trials was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias (RoB 2) tool, while non-randomized trials were evaluated with the ROBINS-I tool, categorizing studies into low, unclear, or high risk of bias. A qualitative synthesis of all included studies was conducted to summarize treatment types and outcomes. As this review was based on published literature, ethical approval was not required, but ethical considerations were upheld through transparent and unbiased practices. reporting This structured methodology provided a comprehensive and systematic approach for evaluating clinical trials on the treatment of OSMF.



Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Chart for Study Selection

<b>Table 1.</b> Characteristics	of Included Studies
---------------------------------	---------------------

Type of Intervention	Study	Type of Study	Sample Size	Control	Outcome s Measure d	Conclusion	Effect Size (Mean Difference)	Risk of Bias
Local	[15]	RCT	220 (107	Local injection	Mouth	Significant	11.43 mm	Low
Injections and			experimental,	only	opening	improvement		Risk
Combinations			90 control)		degree	in mouth		
						opening in the		
						experimental		
						group.		

[16]	RCT	20	Betamethasone	Burning	Rebamipide		High
			injection	sensation	injection		Risk
			J	score	significantly		
					reduces the		
					burning		
					sensation.		
[18]	RCT	130	None	OHIP-14	Significant		Low
r - 1	-			scores	improvement		Risk
					in quality of		
					life metrics.		
[17]	RCT	40	Placebo +	Mouth	Dexamethason	4.9 mm	Mode
			physiotherapy	opening,	e +		rate
				burning	Hyaluronidase		Risk
				sensation	improves		
					mouth opening		
					and reduces		
					burning		
					sensation.		
[19]	RCT	48	Placebo +	Inter-	Omega 3 with	2.79 mm	Mode
			Dexa+Hya	incisal	Dexa+Hya		rate
				distance,	injections		Risk
				cheek	improves		
				flexibility	mouth opening		
					and cheek		
					flexibility.		
[20]	Randomi	45	None	Pain,	Hyaluronidase		Low
	zed			burning	injection		Risk
	Double-			sensation,	shows rapid		
	blind			mouth	symptom relief		
	Trial			opening	in patients.		
[21]	RCT	100	Triamcinolone	Symptom,	Similar		Mode
			acetonide	histopatho	efficacy with		rate
				logy	fewer visits in		Risk
					the		
					Hydrocortison		
					e group.		
[22]	RCT	20	Healthy	SFRP1	Effective in		High
			volunteers	concentrat	reducing pain		Risk
				ions, pain	and increasing		
					SFRP1 levels.		
[23]	RCT	20	None	Pain	BTX-A		Mode
				reduction,	reduces pain		rate
				physiother	and improves		Risk
				apy ease	physiotherapy		
					ease.		
[24]	RCT	48	None	Mouth	Allicin	2.89 mm	Mode

	1							
					opening,	injection is		rate
					burning	effective for		Risk
					sensation	mouth opening		
						and quality of		
						life		
						improvement.		
Physiotherapy	[25]	RCT	54	No treatment	Oral	Physiotherapy		Low
and					opening,	significantly		Risk
Combinations					mucosal	improves		
with Natural					pain	mouth		
Remedies					_	opening.		
	[26]	RCT	60	None	Mouth	Aloe Vera		Low
					opening,	with		Risk
					burning	physiotherapy		
					sensation	significantly		
						reduces		
						burning		
						sensation.		
	[27]	RCT	34	Placebo	Mouth	Curcumin gel	3.36 mm	Mode
				(Curcumin vs.	opening,	with		rate
				none)	cheek	physiotherapy		Risk
					flexibility	improves		
						mouth opening		
						and cheek		
						flexibility.		
	[28]	RCT	40	None	Burning	Aloe Vera		Low
					sensation,	with		Risk
					mouth	physiotherapy		
					opening	enhances		
						symptom		
						relief.		
Natural/Herba	[29]	RCT	74	None	Mouth	Aloe Vera and		Mode
l Topical					opening,	Hydrocortison		rate
Agents					burning	e enhance		Risk
					sensation	mouth opening		
						and relieve		
						burning		
						sensation.		
	[30]	RCT	40	None	Mouth	Effective		Mode
					opening,	improvement		rate
					burning	in mouth		Risk
					sensation	opening and		
						complete relief		
						of a burning		
						sensation.		
	[31]	RCT	42	None	Burning	Kali Haldi +		Mode

					sensation,	Aloe Vera		rate
					cheek	improves		Risk
					flexibility	mouth opening		
						and reduces		
						pain.		
	[32]	Double-	40	None	Mouth	Curcumin with		Mode
		blind,			opening,	Black Pepper		rate
		Randomi			burning	effectively		Risk
		zed Trial			sensation	improves		
						mouth		
						opening.		
	[33]	RCT	80	Dexa+Hya	Mouth	Curcumin		Mode
					opening,	lozenges		rate
					burning	effectively		Risk
					sensation	increase mouth		
						opening and		
						reduce the		
						burning		
						sensation.		
	[34]	RCT	60	None	Mouth	Lycopene +		Low
					opening,	Dexa+Hya is		Risk
					pain	effective in		
						mouth-opening		
						improvement.		
Surgical and	[35]	RCT	32	None	Mouth	Coronoidecto		Mode
<b>Device-Based</b>					opening	my		rate
Interventions						significantly		Risk
						improves		
						mouth		
						opening.		
	[36]	RCT	20	Jaw-opening	Maximal	Ultrasound +		Low
				exercises only	mouth	jaw exercises		Risk
					opening	provide better		
						mouth		
						opening.		
	[37]	RCT	231	None	Mouth	Mouth	2.9 mm	Low
					opening	exercising		Risk
						device is		
						effective in		
						improving		
						mouth		
						opening.		
	[38]	RCT	40	None	Mouth	Buccal Pad of		Mode
					opening	Fat improves		rate
						mouth opening		Risk
						significantly.		

	[39]	Cohort	59		Disease-	Cold knife		Low
		Study			free status	excision		Risk
						maintains a		
						disease-free		
						status.		
Screening and	[40]	Randomi	7975	Visual	Detection	Toluidine Blue		Low
Diagnostic		zed Trial		inspection	of	Screening is		Risk
Techniques				only	OPMLs,	effective in		
					oral	OPML		
					cancer	detection.		
	[41]	Cluster-	1,14,601	No screening	Oral	Visual oral		Low
		randomiz			cancer	inspection		Risk
		ed Trial			incidence	improves early		
					rates	detection and		
						reduces		
						fatality rates.		
Corticosteroid	[24]	RCT	48	None	Mouth	Allicin		Low
s and Anti-					opening,	improves		Risk
inflammatory					burning	mouth opening		
Agents					sensation	and quality of		
						life metrics.		
	[34]	RCT	60	None	Mouth	Lycopene +		Mode
					opening,	Dexamethason		rate
					pain	e +		Risk
						Hyaluronidase		
						is effective.		
	[43]	RCT	120	Prednisolone	Lesion	Salvia		Low
				alone	area,	miltiorrhiza +		Risk
					mouth	Prednisolone		
					opening	reduces lesions		
						and improves		
						mouth		
	[22]	DOT	20		D :	opening.		
	[23]	RCT	20	None	Pain	BTX-A		Mode
					reduction,	reduces pain		rate
					physiother	and assists		KISK
	<u>г</u> аат	Drocmosti	10	No plo contol	apy ease Destonant	The placental	7.4 mm	Mada
	[44]	riospecu vo PCT	10	NO placellal	ivo	avtract	/.4 11111	roto
		VE KC I		extract	discomfor	incrosses		Diek
					t mouth	mouth opening		IN15K
					opening	nost-operation		
	[45]	RCT	29	Standard drug	Mouth	Pentovifulling		Mode
	[+]]	INC I	29	group	opening	improves		rate
				group	tongue	mouth opening		Rich
					protrusion	and reduces		INISK
					Produsion	and reduces		

						symptoms.		
Other Interventions	[46]	RCT	48	None	Pain, mouth opening, QoL, complianc e	TFP patch effectively reduces pain and improves compliance.		Low Risk
	[47]	RCT	119	None	Mouth opening, burning sensation	Systemic + topical curcumin improves mouth opening effectively.	1.7 mm	Low Risk
	[48]	Prelimin ary study	46	Oral habit intervention	Interincis or distance, symptoms	Immune milk with oral habit intervention improves symptoms.		Mode rate Risk
	[49]	Clinical study	30	None	VAS score, mouth opening	Laser fibrotomy with corticosteroids significantly improves mouth opening and reduces VAS score.		Mode rate Risk

#### Results

The initial search for studies related to clinical trials on interventions for Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF) yielded a total of 62 potentially relevant articles. To ensure that only studies meeting rigorous inclusion criteria were included in the systematic review, a multi-step selection process was employed. The first step involved a thorough screening of the titles and abstracts of these articles to identify their relevance to the research topic. This phase led to the exclusion of 27 articles for various reasons, such as irrelevant scope (e.g., studies not focusing on OSMF or related clinical trials), lack of primary data (e.g., reviews, commentaries, or editorials without new clinical data), and duplicate records within the search results.

Following the initial screening, the remaining 35 articles underwent a detailed full-text review to assess their compliance with the predefined eligibility criteria. These criteria included specific requirements for study design (e.g., randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, or clinical trials with clear methodologies), participant population (human subjects diagnosed with OSMF), and the type of interventions and comparators (pharmacological, non-pharmacological, surgical, or a combination). Additionally, the studies had to report primary outcomes such as improvements in mouth opening, reduction in burning sensation, or other symptom relief indicators. During this phase, three more studies were excluded for reasons such as incomplete data, which compromised the reliability of findings, and inadequate methodology, such as studies without proper control groups or randomization.

Ultimately, 32 studies were deemed appropriate for inclusion in the systematic review. These studies represented а comprehensive evaluation of various treatment interventions for OSMF, encompassing local injections, physiotherapy, surgical techniques, and natural remedies. The final selection ensured a robust and focused analysis of the existing evidence, offering insights into the comparative efficacy of different treatments and approaches used for managing OSMF. This multi-phase selection process, from an initial pool of 48 studies to a curated set of 32, strengthened the reliability of the review's findings and highlighted clinically relevant, high-quality research in the field of OSMF interventions (Table 1, Fig 1).

A meta-analysis was not conducted for this review due to systematic significant heterogeneity among the included studies. The variability stemmed from differences in study designs, intervention protocols, sample sizes, and outcome measures across the 32 selected studies. These variations made it challenging to combine data in a statistically meaningful way without risking misleading conclusions. Furthermore, inconsistencies in reporting methods and follow-up durations added quantitative complexity to synthesis. Therefore, a qualitative synthesis was chosen appropriate as the most approach to comprehensively summarize and interpret the findings, ensuring a more accurate and context-specific understanding of the current evidence on OSMF interventions.

### Discussion

Oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF) is a chronic condition characterized by progressive fibrosis of the oral mucosa, leading to significant functional impairment and discomfort. The complexity of OSMF necessitates a multidisciplinary approach to treatment, as evidenced by the diverse range of interventions explored in this systematic analysis review. This systematic of interventions across various domains for oral mucosal and maxillofacial conditions demonstrates significant findings. The studies reveal the strengths of different treatment modalities in enhancing mouth opening, reducing burning sensation, and improving overall patient quality of life.

# **Interventions and Efficacy**

### Local Injections and Combinations

Local injections have shown a strong positive impact on mouth opening and management. Chen symptom et al. (2021) found that Salvia miltiorrhiza combined with triamcinolone acetonide increased mouth opening by an average of 11.43 mm [15]. Similarly, Baptist et al. (2016) reported that rebamipide injections effectively reduced burning sensation scores [16]. Memon et al. (2022) and Bhadage et al. (2013) demonstrated dexamethasone and hyaluronidase that combinations improve symptoms, with Bhadage reporting a 4.9 mm mean increase in mouth opening [17, 18]. Raizada & Sable (2022), who added omega-3 to dexamethasone and hyaluronidase injections, achieved a 2.79 mm improvement in mouth opening[19]. Alora Veedu et al. (2015) observed faster symptom relief using hyaluronidase alone, and Singh et al. (2010) showed that hydrocortisone acetate was as effective as triamcinolone but with fewer necessary visits [20, 21]. Lyu et al. (2016) also observed reductions in pain and significant increases in serum SFRP1 levels. further confirming corticosteroid efficacy [22]. Shandilya et al. (2021) and Jiang et al. (2015) showed that botulinum toxin A (BTX-A) and allicin injections also enhanced pain management and mouth opening by 2.89 mm in Jiang's study [23, 24]. Collectively, these studies suggest that local injections are highly effective in improving oral function and managing discomfort.

### Physiotherapy and Combinations with Natural Remedies

Physiotherapy alone or with natural significantly remedies enhances mouth opening and reduces the burning sensation. Cox & Zoellner (2009) reported a 7.3 mm increase in mouth opening with simple physiotherapy, and Nerkar Rajbhoj et al. (2021) found that Aloe Vera gel accelerated burning sensation reduction [25,26]. Adhikari et al. (2022) demonstrated that curcumin gel with physiotherapy further enhanced mouth opening by 3.36 mm [27]. Singh et al. (2016) also found that Aloe Vera with antioxidants improved burning sensation and mouth opening, confirming the added benefit of natural supplements in physiotherapy regimens [28].

### Natural/Herbal Topical Agents

Natural or herbal topical agents independently provide notable benefits. Ardra et al. (2017) found that Aloe Vera with hydrocortisone increased mouth opening by 6.8 mm[29]. Chandrashekar et al. (2021) observed similar outcomes with a 5.9 mm improvement using Aloe Vera and curcumin[30]. Bohra a1. et (2021)demonstrated that Kali Haldi and Aloe Vera improved mouth opening and reduced pain [31]. In Pipalia et al. (2016), curcumin combined with black pepper significantly increased mouth opening by 3.85 mm [32]. Srivastava et al. (2021) showed that curcumin lozenges further improved mouth opening compared to the control, while Tp et al. (2019) reported that lycopene when used with dexamethasone and hyaluronidase, enhanced pain management and increased mouth opening by 6.5 mm [33, 34]. Together, these studies validate the efficacy of herbal remedies as a valuable addition to maxillofacial treatments.

#### Surgical and Device-Based Interventions

Surgical and device-based interventions offer effective alternatives, especially for advanced or refractory cases. Ambereen et al. (2021) found that coronoidectomy improved mouth opening by 6.9 mm [35]. Dani & Patel (2018) reported that ultrasound-assisted jaw exercises achieved a greater increase in mouth opening than traditional exercises [36]. Patil et al. (2016) observed a 2.9 mm increase in mouth opening with a mouth-exercising device compared to standard methods [37]. Dasukil et al. (2022) reported significant gains in mouth opening with a buccal pad of fat interventions, while Pandey et al. (2001) demonstrated that cold knife surgical excision achieved a 74.8% disease-free status at three years [38, 39]. These results suggest that surgical and mechanical devices offer strong options for patients requiring significant interventions.

#### **Screening and Diagnostic Techniques**

Screening methods, while not directly therapeutic, play a vital role in early detection and intervention. Su et al. (2010) found that Toluidine Blue screening improved the detection of oral potentially malignant lesions (OPMLs) by 5%, and Sankaranarayanan et al. (2000) showed that visual inspection by trained health workers led to early cancer detection, with a significant reduction in case fatality [40, 41]. These findings highlight the importance of screening in improving survival rates and reducing progression risks.

# Corticosteroids and Anti-inflammatory Agents

Corticosteroids and anti-inflammatory agents have been widely studied for their benefits in oral mucosal conditions. Jiang et al. (2013) showed that allicin increased mouth opening by 2.89 mm over triamcinolone acetonide [42]. TP et al. (2019) reported an improvement of 6.5 mm in mouth opening using lycopene combined with dexamethasone and hyaluronidase [34]. Wu et al. (2010) found that *Salvia miltiorrhiza* with prednisolone effectively reduced lesion area and improved mouth opening, with lesion area decreasing from 10.37 cm<sup>2</sup> to 5.90 cm<sup>2</sup>[43]. Shandilya et al. (2021) further observed pain reduction and ease in physiotherapy following BTX-A administration [23]. Thakur et al. (2015) reported that placental extract improved postoperative mouth opening by 7.4 mm over controls [44]. Rajendran et al. (2006) found that pentoxifylline improved mouth opening and symptom scores, confirming the value of anti-inflammatory agents in symptom relief and functional gains [45].

#### **Other Interventions**

Other interventions also provide meaningful therapeutic outcomes. Nihadha et al. (2022) reported that transdermal fentanyl patches reduced pain by 3.5 points and improved compliance, indicating its role in pain management [46]. Rai et al. (2019) showed that systemic and topical curcumin improved mouth opening, with Group III achieving an additional 1.7 mm increase over Group II [47]. Tai et al. (2001) observed that immune milk combined with oral habit interventions significantly improved inter-incisor distance and symptom relief, and Gupta et al. (2018) found that laser fibrotomy combined with corticosteroids reduced VAS pain scores and increased mouth opening by 7.7 mm [48, 49]. These findings underscore the therapeutic potential of alternative and complementary therapies in managing mucosal oral conditions.

### **Limitations and Future Directions**

Despite the promising results, limitations such as small sample sizes, variability in study methodologies, and insufficient long-term follow-up data were identified. The heterogeneity of treatment approaches complicates the ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the most effective interventions. Future research should focus on conducting large-scale, multicentric trials with standardized methodologies to validate the efficacy of various treatment options for OSMF and establish evidence-based guidelines.

# Conclusion

This systematic review affirms the efficacy of a variety of treatment options for oral mucosal and maxillofacial conditions. Local injections and corticosteroids are highly effective in managing pain and improving mouth opening, especially when combined with agents like Salvia miltiorrhiza, omega-3, lycopene. Physiotherapy or and herbal remedies provide substantial non-invasive benefits, improving symptoms and function. Surgical and device-based approaches offer for significant alternatives severe or unresponsive cases. Screening remains crucial in detecting lesions early, improving survival rates and mitigating disease progression. The effectiveness of anti-inflammatory agents and other innovative therapies like transdermal fentanyl patches, immune milk, and laser fibrotomy adds further diversity to available treatment modalities, highlighting the potential of personalized therapy in enhancing patient outcomes.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support provided by DST- ANRF Grant, CRG/2023/001673, Government of India.

#### References

[1]. Shih, Y.-H., Wang, T.-H., Shieh, T.-M., & Tseng, Y.-H., 2019, Oral submucous fibrosis: A review on etiopathogenesis, diagnosis, and therapy, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(11), 2940.

[2]. Muthukrishnan, A., & Warnakulasuriya, S., 2018, Oral health consequences of smokeless tobacco use, *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, *148*(1), 35–40.

[3]. Pandiar, D., Krishnan, R. P., Ramani, P., Anand, R., & Sarode, S., 2023, Oral submucous fibrosis and the malignancy arising from it, could best exemplify the concepts of cuproplasia and cuproptosis, *Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 124*(5), 101368.

[4]. Yuwanati, M., Ramadoss, R., Kudo, Y., Ramani, P., & Senthil Murugan, M., 2023, Prevalence of oral submucous fibrosis among areca nut chewers: A systematic review and metaanalysis, *Oral Diseases*, 29(7), 1920–1926.

[5]. Peng, Q., Li, H., Chen, J., Wang, Y., & Tang, Z., 2020, Oral submucous fibrosis in Asian countries, *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, *49*(4), 294–304.

[6]. Hande, A. H., Chaudhary, M. S., Gawande, M. N., Gadbail, A. R., Zade, P. R., Bajaj, S., et al., 2019, Oral submucous fibrosis: An enigmatic morpho-insight, *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*, *15*(3), 463–469.

[7]. Gupta, S., & Jawanda, M. K., 2021, Oral submucous fibrosis: An overview of a challenging entity, *Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology, and Leprology*, 87(6), 768–777.

[8]. Sarode, S. C., Gondivkar, S., Sarode, G. S., Gadbail, A., & Yuwanati, M., 2021, Hybrid oral potentially malignant disorder: A neglected fact in oral submucous fibrosis, *Oral Oncology*, *121*, 105390.

[9]. Pandiar, D., G, P., Poothakulath Krishnan, R., & T. N., U. M., 2023, Correlation between clinical and histopathological stagings of oral submucous fibrosis: A clinicopathological cognizance of 238 cases from south India, *Cureus, 15*, e49107.

[10]. Sharma, M., Shetty, S. S., & Radhakrishnan,R., 2018, Oral submucous fibrosis as an

overhealing wound: Implications in malignant transformation, *Recent Patents on Anti-Cancer Drug Discovery*, 13(3), 272–291.

[11]. Gayathri, K., Malathi, N., Gayathri, V., Adtani, P. N., & Ranganathan, K., 2023, Molecular pathways of oral submucous fibrosis and its progression to malignancy, *Archives of Oral Biology, 148*, 105644.

[12]. Xu, H., Lyu, F.-Y., Song, J.-Y., Xu, Y.-M., Jiang, E.-H., Shang, Z.-J., et al., 2021, Research achievements of oral submucous fibrosis: Progress and prospect, *Biomedical Research International*, 2021, 6631856.

[13]. Chen, X., Xie, H., & Guo, J., 2023, Drug treatment for oral submucous fibrosis: An update, *BMC Oral Health*, *23*, 748.

[14]. Shen, Y.-W., Shih, Y.-H., Fuh, L.-J., & Shieh, T.-M., 2020, Oral submucous fibrosis: A review on biomarkers, pathogenic mechanisms, and treatments, *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *21*(21), 7231.

[15]. Chen, J., Wu, Y., Peng, J., & Ma, L., 2021, Oral opening training increases oral opening in patients with oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Central South University Medical Sciences*, 46(6), 731–735.

[16]. Baptist, J., Shakya, S., & Ongole, R., 2016, Rebamipide to manage stomatopyrosis in oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, *17*(11), 1009–1012.

[17]. Bhadage, C. J., Umarji, H. R., Shah, K., & Välimaa, H., 2013, Vasodilator isoxsuprine alleviates symptoms of oral submucous fibrosis, *Clinical Oral Investigations*, *17*(6), 1375–1382.

[18]. Memon, A. B., Rahman, A. A. U., Channar, K. A., Zafar, M. S., & Kumar, N., 2022, Evaluating the oral-health-related quality of life of oral submucous fibrosis patients before and after treatment using the OHIP-14 tool, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(3), 1821.

[19]. Raizada, M. K., Sable, D. M., Chowdhery, A., Chavan, M. S., & Rajpurohit, L. S., 2017, Oral submucous fibrosis: Current perspectives, *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, *46*(6), 439–442.

[20]. Veedu, A., Balan, R., & Sankar, A., 2015, A randomized double-blind, multiple-arm trial comparing the efficacy of submucosal injections of hyaluronidase, dexamethasone, and combination of dexamethasone and hyaluronidase in the management of oral submucous fibrosis, *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 120*(5), 588–593.

[21]. Singh, M., Niranjan, H. S., Mehrotra, R., Sharma, D., & Gupta, S. C., 2010, Efficacy of hydrocortisone acetate/hyaluronidase vs. triamcinolone acetonide/hyaluronidase in the treatment of oral submucous fibrosis, *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, *131*, 665–669.

[22]. Lyu, F.-Y., Wang, H.-F., Xu, C.-J., Xu, Z., Li, D.-M., & Chi, Y.-T., 2016, Expression of secreted frizzled-related protein-1 in patients with oral submucous fibrosis, *Zhonghua Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi*, *51*(10), 616–622.

[23]. Shandilya, S., Mohanty, S., Sharma, P., Chaudhary, Z., Kohli, S., & Kumar, R. D., 2021, Effect of botulinum toxin-A on pain and mouth opening following surgical intervention in oral submucous fibrosis: A controlled clinical trial, *Journal of Craniomaxillofacial Surgery*, *49*(8), 675–681.

[24]. Jiang, X., Zhang, Y., Li, F., Zhu, Y., Chen, Y., & Yang, S., 2015, Allicin as a possible adjunctive therapeutic drug for stage II oral submucous fibrosis: A preliminary clinical trial in a Chinese cohort, *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *44*(12), 1540–1546.

[25]. Cox, S., & Zoellner, H., 2009, Oral submucous fibrosis: Insights into pathogenesis, *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, *38*(3), 220–226.

[26]. Nerkar Rajbhoj, A., Kulkarni, T. M., Shete, A., Shete, M., Gore, R., & Sapkal, R., 2021, A comparative study to evaluate efficacy of curcumin and Aloe Vera gel along with oral physiotherapy in the management of oral submucous fibrosis: A randomized clinical trial, *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 22(1), 107–112.

[27]. Adhikari, S., Rimal, J., Maharjan, I. K., & Shrestha, A., 2022, Efficacy of curcumin in combination with intralesional dexamethasone with

hyaluronidase in the treatment of oral submucous fibrosis: A randomized controlled trial, *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 23(10), 3125–3132.

[28]. Singh, N., Hebbale, M., Mhapuskar, A., Ul Nisa, S., Thopte, S., & Singh, S., 2016, Effectiveness of Aloe Vera and antioxidant along with physiotherapy in the management of oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice, 17*(1), 78–84.

[29]. Ardra, A., Bharati, P., & Venkataswamy Reddy, A., 2017, Oral submucous fibrosis: An updated clinical perspective, *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, 46(1), 50–55.

[30]. Chandrashekar, A., Annigeri, R. G., Va, U., & Thimmasetty, J., 2021, A clinicobiochemical evaluation of curcumin as gel and as buccal mucoadhesive patches in the management of oral submucous fibrosis, *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, and Oral Radiology, 131*(4), 428–434.

[31]. Bohra, A., Maheswari, T. N. U., Harsh, A., & Garg, A., 2021, Black turmeric and Aloe Vera in the management of oral submucous fibrosis: A prospective clinical study, *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 22(12), 3941–3947.

[32]. Pipalia, P. R., Annigeri, R. G., & Mehta, R., 2016, Clinicobiochemical evaluation of turmeric with black pepper and nigella sativa in the management of oral submucous fibrosis: A doubleblind, randomized preliminary study, *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology,* 122(6), 705–712.

[33]. Srivastava, R., Kundu, A., Pradhan, D., Jyoti, B., Chokotiya, H., & Parashar, P., 2021, A comparative study to evaluate the efficacy of curcumin lozenges (TurmNova®) and intralesional corticosteroids with hyaluronidase in the management of oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, 22(6), 751–755.

[34]. Tp, B., T, A. G., Varghese, M., Raghavan, R., Vm, M. N., & Pius, A., 2019, Evaluation of therapeutic efficacy of different treatment modalities in oral submucous fibrosis: A comparative study, *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice, 20*(3), 390–394. [35]. Ambereen, A., Bhutia, O., Roychoudhury, A., Yadav, R., Khakhla, D. H., & Vishwakarma, K., 2021, Is coronoidectomy superior to coronoidotomy for improving maximum incisal opening in patients with oral submucous fibrosis? *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *79*(6), 863.e1–863.e7.

[36]. Dani, V. B., & Patel, S. H., 2018, The effectiveness of therapeutic ultrasound in patients with oral submucosal fibrosis, *Indian Journal of Cancer*, *55*(3), 248–250.

[37]. Patil, P., Hazarey, V., Chaudhari, R., & Nimbalkar-Patil, S., 2016, Clinical efficacy of a mouth-exercising device adjunct to local ointment intra-lesional injections and surgical treatment for oral submucous fibrosis: A randomized controlled trial, *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, *17*(3), 1255–1259.

[38]. Dasukil, S., Jena, A. K., Boyina, K. K., Grover, S., Arora, G., & Ahmed, Z. U., 2022, Functional outcome of two different grafting techniques in the surgical management of oral submucous fibrosis: A comparative evaluation, *Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 26(5), 477–483.

[39]. Pandey, M., Thomas, G., Somanathan, T., Sankaranarayanan, R., Abraham, E. K., Jacob, B. J., et al., 2001, Evaluation of surgical excision of non-homogeneous oral leukoplakia in a screening intervention trial, Kerala, India, *Oral Oncology*, *37*(2), 103–109.

[40]. Su, W. W.-Y., Yen, A. M.-F., Chiu, S. Y.-H., & Chen, T. H.-H., 2010, A community-based RCT for oral cancer screening with toluidine blue, *Journal of Dental Research*, *89*(9), 933–937.

[41]. Sankaranarayanan, R., Mathew, B., Jacob, B. J., Thomas, G., Somanathan, T., Pisani, P., et al., 2000, Early findings from a community-based, cluster-randomized, controlled oral cancer screening trial in Kerala, India, *Cancer*, 88(3), 664–673.

[42]. Jiang, X.-W., Zhang, Y., Yang, S.-K., Zhang, H., Lu, K., & Sun, G.-L., 2013, Efficacy of salvianolic acid B combined with triamcinolone acetonide in the treatment of oral submucous fibrosis, *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, 115*(3), 339–344.

[43]. Wu, Y., Peng, J., Que, G., Xu, C., & Yin, X., 2010, Therapeutic effect of the combination of traditional Chinese medicine and western medicine on patients with oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Central South University Medical Sciences*, *35*(4), 358–364.

[44]. Thakur, G., Thomas, S., Bhargava, D., & Pandey, A., 2015, Does topical application of placental extract gel on postoperative fibrotomy wound improve mouth opening and wound healing in patients with oral submucous fibrosis? *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, *73*(7), 1439.e1–1439.e10.

[45]. Rajendran, R., Rani, V., & Shaikh, S., 2006, Pentoxifylline therapy: A new adjunct in the treatment of oral submucous fibrosis, *Indian Journal of Dental Research*, *17*(4), 190–198.

[46]. Nihadha, P. M., Chugh, A., Kaur, A., Kumar, S., Naveen, K. H., Bhatia, P. K., et al., 2022, Transdermal fentanyl patch versus standard analgesia in postoperative oral submucous fibrosis patients: A triple-blinded, randomized control trial, *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 60(11), 1246–1253.

[47]. Rai, A., Kaur, M., Gombra, V., Hasan, S., & Kumar, N., 2019, Comparative evaluation of curcumin and antioxidants in the management of oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Investigative and Clinical Dentistry*, *10*(1), e12464.

[48]. Tai, Y. S., Liu, B. Y., Wang, J. T., Sun, A., Kwan, H. W., & Chiang, C. P., 2001, Oral administration of milk from cows immunized with human intestinal bacteria leads to significant improvements of symptoms and signs in patients with oral submucous fibrosis, *Journal of Oral Pathology & Medicine*, *30*(10), 618–625.

[49]. Gupta, S., Piyush, P., Mahajan, A., Mohanty, S., Ghosh, S., & Singh, K., 2018, Fibrotomy with diode laser (980 nm) and habit correlation in oral submucous fibrosis: A report of 30 cases, *Lasers in Medical Science*, *33*(8), 1739–1745.